

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,297	09/24/2003	Robert T. Cole	53394.000720	2260	
21967 7	590 01/11/2006	01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F		
INTELLECTU	IAL PROPERTY DEPA	RTMENT			
1900 K STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1200			3761		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/668,297	COLE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorceply reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	e action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4,7,17,23,24,26,32</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,8-16,18-22,25,27-31,34,35 ar</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	<u>2,33 and 36</u> is/are withdrawn fi and 37-45 is/are rejected.	rom consideration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl nity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-45 are pending in the present application. Claims 3, 4, 7, 17, 23, 24, 26, 32, 33, and 36, are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3761

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-16, 18-22, 25, 27-31, 34, 35, and 37-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ronn et al. USPN 6648864.

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 22, 25, 29-31, 34, and 39-45 discloses a visual identification device for absorbent articles comprising: two or more size designations and two or more product desginations (Figure 4a). Ronn does not specifically disclose two or more absorbency designations. However, because the display designates different sizes and different stages of development, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to inform the consumer about different absorbencies, since the general concept is to provide a fit appropriate for the child's state of development and it is considered obvious that different sizes and particularly different stages of development, such as a training pant, has different levels of absorbency. For example, Glaug USPN 5649914 teaches a toilet training aid with a low absorbent capacity.

As to claims 6 and 35, see Figures 4a-5a.

As to claims 8, 9, 27, 28, 37, and 38, see Figure 4a where the products have graphical codes denoted by indicia as broadly as claimed.

As to claims 10 -16 and 18-21, see col. 2, lines 15-46.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Jacqueline F Stephens

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

∕⊾xamıner Art Unit 3761

January 5, 2006